UGC Approved Journal
© INNOVATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS | Refereed | Peer Reviewed | Indexed
ISSN : 2454 – 308X | Volume : 03 , Issue : 11 | October – December 2017

A review of nature and scope of financial economics

Sunita Devi
Assistant professor in Economics
K.R.M degree college for girls, Jamal (Sirsa)

Abstract

The overall degree of optimism/pessimism in society is represented by the emotions of financial decision-makers. "Our hypothesis leads to three significant results due to the correlation between these feelings and economic actors. First, social mood impacts the sorts of choices made by customers, investors, and business management equally. Optimistic (pessimistic) collective investment and corporate activity are indicators of societal mood swings. Second, owing to the efficient and emotive character of stock transactions, the stock market itself is a direct indicator or barometer of societal mood. Third, stock market patterns assist foretell future financial and economic activity because the tone and nature of corporate activity follows rather than leads societal mood. Detailed forecasts are provided for the future of the stock market and trading volume, market volatility, company growth, leverage use, initial public offerings, and mergers and acquisitions.

Key words: Poison pill; Antitakeover law; Merger; Tender offer; Takeover premium JEL

Introduction

In its 1992 single market plan, the Commission of the European Communities emphasized the large benefit that would accrue to those sectors that might benefit from favorable supply-side effects. In particular, price reductions produced by competitive pressures will push % rms to explore aggressively for reduction in costs, either by eliminating regions of poor productivity or by more fully exploiting economies of scale. Yet, despite the significance of economies of scale, few studies have explored cost characteristics in European banking, and none appear to have provided cross-country comparisons. Evidence of scale and scope economies in the banking sectors of France, Germany, Italy, and Spain are evaluated using the translog cost-function approach in this research. Overall, the data points to the existence of scale economies in all markets and the presence of weak evidence of scope economies. These results provide empirical support to the idea that European Central Banks (ECBs) may gain from additional cost savings as a result of the increased competition brought about by the single market initiative. According to the literature, in India, a) the money supply is determined using one of two methods: the balance sheet or structural approach, which looks at the relationship between

UGC Approved Journal
© INNOVATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS | Refereed | Peer Reviewed | Indexed
ISSN : 2454 – 308X | Volume : 03 , Issue : 11 | October – December 2017

money stock and reserve money, and the money multiplier approach, which focuses on individual items in the balance sheet of the consolidated monetary sector to explain changes in money supply; b) the money multiplier approach has emerged strongly as a critic to the balance sheet approach. This section provides an analytical recounting of the aforementioned dispute.

The Balance Sheet Approach

This method was pioneered by the First Working Group on Money Supply (FWG). This method assumed the following: (a) money supply was a liability of the banking system and the government; (b) inter-bank assets and liabilities did not affect money supply; (c) banks' borrowings from RBI increased money supply; and (d) the change in money supply was equal to the difference between the change in the banking system's financial assets and the change in its net non-monetary liabilities. Academics have also pointed out that the government's secrecy affects money supply directly via changes in treasury balances.

Humans have an innate propensity for imitation and mimicking. Herd mentality is prevalent in the fashion and trend industries, as well as in other areas like as transportation and the selection of research topics. It is widely held, not just by professionals but even by financial economists themselves (when questioned informally) that investors are impacted by the actions of other investors and that this influence is a first-order effect. It's possible that herd behavior is widespread in the world of finance. Although the term herding is often used, it is not always easy to describe. At its most fundamental level, herding may be thought of as a collection of interrelated behavioral patterns shown by a group of people. However, if multiple investors are buying hot equities, it may simply be due to the arrival of correlated information among independently behaving individuals. Instead, we focus on the phenomenon of herding, which may cause large groups of people to make decisions that aren't ideal as a whole. In this regard, herding is related to phenomena like low-quality forecasting, fleeting shifts that don't amount to much new, and so on. facts, trends, manias, and equilibrium sunspots.

Sheep herding, like any other kind of herding, requires some sort of coordination system. The mechanism may take the form of a generally accepted norm for coordinating actions in response to a signal (such as a change in price) or the direct capacity to 'observe other decision-makers' (such as monitoring a coworker's investing behavior). Two opposing viewpoints on herding exist: the non-rational and the rational. Based on investor psychology, the irrational perspective asserts that market participants are mindless drones that mindlessly follow the herd without considering alternatives. Less irrational investors are expected to make a killing on it.

Externalities are at the heart of the rational perspective, with optimum decision-making clouded by informational or incentive problems. According to the moderate viewpoint, decision-makers are only partially rational since they resort to 'heuristics' in order to save time and money when processing or gathering data.

Review of literature

(Comment & Schwert, 1995) studied Poison or placebo? Evidence on the deterrence and wealth effects of modern antitakeover measure Despite the fact that 87% of all exchange-listed corporations are currently protected by one of these antitakeover measures, this study presents large-sample evidence that poison pill rights problems, control share rules, and business combination regulations have not consistently discouraged takeovers. We give updated event study data for the three-quarters of all poison pills not before assessed, and we demonstrate that poison pills and control share rules are consistently related with higher takeover premiums for selling shareholders, both unconditionally and conditionally on a successful takeover. Target companies' bargaining power is bolstered by antitakeover measures, but these safeguards do not always thwart acquisitions.

(McConnell, 2010) studied Fair value accounting, financial economics and the transformation of reliability" discovered, and This paper seeks to answer the question of how and why, despite widespread opposition, the use of fair values in accounting became significant prior to 2007. It is proposed that the explanation may be found in four interdependent conditions of possibility that provided advocates of fair value with the institutional backing and power that their opponents lacked. First, proponents of fair value might benefit from the cultural neutrality of financial economics. Two, the derivatives accounting crisis sparked calls to apply fair value measurements to all financial products. Finally, conceptual framework projects' reconceptualization of the balance sheet as an economic institution raised the bar for the economic significance of asset and liability statistics, a requirement that fair value may potentially meet. Forth, standard-setters' efforts to establish themselves as credible, governing bodies were aided by the concept of fair value.

(Devenow & Welch, 1996) studied "Rational herding in financial economics" which This study finds, and which This paper briefly reviews previous works on the economics of rational herding in financial markets. In perfect herding, all rational agents follow the leader without any dissenting voices, as predicted by certain models. Direct payoff externalities (negative externalities in bank runs, positive externalities in the generation of trading liquidity, or in the acquisition of information) principal-agent problems (based on managerial desire to protect or signal reputation) and informational learning are common causes of herd behavior (cascades). The study also includes some suggestions for further research and references to relevant literature.

(Bank, 2009) studied "centre for studies in banking and finance" found that and Researchers reported that - in India, there were two approaches to money supply determination: the balance sheet or structural approach, which focused on individual items in the balance sheet of the consolidated monetary sector to explain changes in money supply, and the money multiplier approach, which focused on the relationship between money stock and reserve money. Bhattacharya.

(Altunbas & Molyneux, 1996) studied "Economies of Scale and Scope in European Banking" discovered, and Using the translog cost-function technique, we analyze the pricing structures of four banking markets in the EC. This research found differences in the banking sectors of the French, German, Italian, and Spanish economies. It seems, however, that economies of scale exist throughout a broad range of bank production levels in each nation, even the biggest banks. The findings also point to economies of scale for the German system, which may be a reflection of the global character of this business.

(Nofsinger et al., 2005) studied "Social Mood and Financial Economics" discovered, and It's fair to say that the optimism or pessimism felt by those in charge of the country's finances is indicative of the prevailing mood in the country as a whole. Our hypothesis leads to three significant results due to the correlation between these feelings and economic actors. Consumers, investors, and business executives all make judgments based on the prevailing social climate. Optimistic (pessimistic) aggregate investment and corporate activity are indicative of extremes in social mood. Two, the stock market is a direct measure or barometer of social mood because of the efficient and emotive character of stock transactions. Third, stock market patterns assist foretell future financial and economic activity because the tone and style of corporate activity follows, rather than leads, societal mood.

Conclusions

If contemporary antitakeover policies were successful in halting the 1980s merger wave, we should observe evidence of deterrence in the experience of individual enterprises after adoption of these measures. This evidence should be robust against efforts to account for secular patterns in takeover activity. If this explanation fails to hold water, then the collapse of the market for

ISSN : 2454 – 308X | Volume : 03 , Issue : 11 | October – December 2017

corporate control is probably the result of systemic political or economic causes. No dissuasive effect of control share or business merger legislation was found. Weak evidence suggests that publicly accessible information regarding the firm's performance acts as a deterrent against poison pills. Companies that deviate from the market norm in any way are more likely to be acquired if they implement poison pills (which we refer to as "surprise pills"). This result most likely reflects management's decision to use a poison pill in anticipation of a takeover proposal. The combination of these two drivers for poison pill use is positively correlated with the frequency of takeover attempts. Although anecdotal evidence and clinical experience are consistent with the idea that contemporary antitakeover efforts have stopped some prospective takeovers, our systematic research does not support this conclusion.

References

1. Altunbas, Y., & Molyneux, P. (1996). Economies of scale and scope in European banking. *Applied Financial Economics*, *6*(4), 367–375. https://doi.org/10.1080/096031096334187
2. Bank, R. (2009). *Determination of Money Supply in India : The Great Debate*. *June*.
3. Comment, R., & Schwert, G. W. (1995). Poison or placebo? Evidence on the deterrence and wealth effects of modern antitakeover measures. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *39*(1), 3–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(94)00823-J
4. Devenow, A., & Welch, I. (1996). Rational herding in financial economics. *European Economic Review*, *40*(3–5), 603–615. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-2921(95)00073-9
5. McConnell, P. (2010). Response to “Fair value accounting, financial economics and the transformation of reliability.” *Accounting and Business Research*, *40*(3), 211–213. https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2010.9663395
6. Nofsinger, J., Hirshleifer, D., & Nofsinger, J. R. (2005). Social Mood and Financial Economics Related papers Invest or psychology and asset pricing. *The Journal of Behavioral Finance*, *6*(3), 144–160.